### § 35.1620-6

clean lakes projects within the State. The work plan must list in priority order the cooperative agreement applications that will be submitted by the State for Phase 1 and Phase 2 projects during the upcoming fiscal year, along with the rationale used to establish project priorities. Each State must also list the cooperative agreement applications, with necessary funding, which it expects to submit in the following fiscal year. This information will assist EPA in targeting resources under §35.1613.

(2) A State may petition the Regional Administrator by letter to modify the EPA approved priority list established under paragraph (a)(1) of this section. This may be done at any time if the State believes there is sufficient justification to alter the priority list contained in its annual work program, e.g., if a community with a lower priority project has sufficient resources available to provide the required matching funding while a higher priority project does not, or if new data indicates that a lower priority lake will have greater public benefit than a higher priority lake.

(b) Clean lakes restoration priorities should be consistent with the Statewide water quality management strategy (see §35.1511-2 of this subchapter). In establishing priorities on particular lake restoration projects, States should use as criteria the application review criteria (§35.1640-1) that EPA will use in preparing funding recommendations for specific projects. If a State chooses to use different criteria. the State should indicate this to the Regional Administrator as part of the annual work program.

# $\S 35.1620-6$ Intergovernmental review.

EPA will not award funds under this subpart without review and consultation in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 12372, as implemented in 40 CFR part 29 of this chapter.

[48 FR 29302, June 24, 1983]

# §35.1630 State lake classification surveys.

States that wish to participate in the clean lakes program shall establish and submit to EPA by January 1, 1982, a

classification, according to trophic condition, of their publicly owned freshwater lakes that are in need of restoration or protection. After December 31, 1981, States that have not complied with this requirement will not be eligible for Federal financial assistance under this subpart until they complete their survey.

#### §35.1640 Application review and evaluation.

EPA will review applications as they are received. EPA may request outside review by appropriate experts to assist with technical evaluation. Funding decisions will be based on the merit of each application in accordance with the application review criteria under \$35.1640-1. EPA will consider Phase 1 applications separately from Phase 2 applications.

## §35.1640-1 Application review criteria.

- (a) When evaluating applications, EPA will consider information supplied by the applicant which address the following criteria:
- (1) The technical feasibility of the project, and where appropriate, the estimated improvement in lake water quality.
- (2) The anticipated positive changes that the project would produce in the overall lake ecosystem, including the watershed, such as the net reduction in sediment, nutrient, and other pollutant loadings.
- (3) The estimated improvement in fish and wildlife habitat and associated beneficial effects on specific fish populations of sport and commercial species.
- (4) The extent of anticipated benefits to the public. EPA will consider such factors as
- (i) The degree, nature and sufficiency of public access to the lake;
- (ii) The size and economic structure of the population residing near the lake which would use the improved lake for recreational and other purposes:
- (iii) The amount and kind of public transportation available for transport of the public to and from the public access points:
- (iv) Whether other relatively clean publicly owned freshwater lakes within